



Registered with the Registrar
of Newspapers for India
under No. 10410

புதுச்சேரி மாநில அரசிதழ்

La Gazette de L'État de Poudouchéry

The Gazette of Puducherry

PART - I

சிறப்பு வெளியீடு

EXTRAORDINAIRE

EXTRAORDINARY

அதிகாரம் பெற்ற
வெளியீடு

Publiée par
Autorité

Published by
Authority

எண்	} 79	புதுச்சேரி	தங்கடகழமை	2026 லீ	ஏப்ரல் 6	6
No.		Poudouchéry	Lundi	6	Avril	2026 (16 Chaitra 1948)
No.		Puducherry	Monday	6th	April	2026

IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 40/2026 of I.R. No. 10/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/634

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Ariyankuppam Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Lokanathan @ Ajith (25),
S/o. Arumugam,
No. 01, Old Pudhukulam Street,
Ariyankuppam,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS, against Thiru Loganathan @ Ajith (25), to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Loganathan @ Ajith (25), son of Arumugam, a resident of No. 01, Old Pudhukulam Street, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (South), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 10/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 03-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner have formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as hurt cases and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned through extortion. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him in the cases where he is the accused. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

1. Cr.No. 146/2017 under sections 341, 364, 392, 111, 302 IPC r/w 149 IPC and Sec. 5 (1) (a) and (d) Immoral Traffic Act, 1986 of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
2. Cr.No. 163/2018 under sections 147, 148, 324, 326, 506(ii) r/w 149 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
3. Cr.No. 07/2019 under sections 294 (b), 324, 506(ii) IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
4. Cr.No. 38/2019 under sections 294 (b), 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC, 27 (i) of Arms Act of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
5. Cr.No. 89/2019 under section 8(c) r/w 20 (b), (ii) (A), 27 (A), 29 NDPS ACT of Orleanpet Police Station, Puducherry.
6. Cr.No. 406/2020 under sections 294 (b), 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
7. Cr.No. 16/2021 under sections 399, 402 IPC & 25 (1- A) of Arms Act of Orleanpet Police Station, Puducherry.
8. Cr.No. 110/2021 under sections 294 (b), 324, 506 (ii) IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
9. Cr.No. 155/2021 under sections 294 (b), 324, 506 (ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
10. Cr.No. 157/2021 under section 4 (b), of Explosive Substances Act, 1908 & 25 (1-A) of Arms Act r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
11. Cr.No. 45/2022 under sections 294 (b), 323, 324, 506 (ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

12. Cr.No. 116/2023 under section 34 B (IX) of PP Act & 506 (ii) IPC & 25 (1-A), 25 (1-AAA), 25 (IB) of Arms act of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
13. Cr.No. 149/2024 under sections 296, 132, 351 (3) BNS r/w 3 (5) BNS and 25 (1-A) of Arms Act of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
14. Cr.No. 260/2024 under sections 126 (2), 296, 118 (1), 115 (2), 351 (3) BNS r/w 3 (5) BNS & 25 (I-A) of Arms Act of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
15. Cr.No. 32/2025 under section 351 (3) BNS & 25 (1) (a) of Arms Act of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
16. Cr.No. 121/2025 under sections 296 (b), 115(2), 118(1), 351(2) BNS of Reddichavady Police Station, Tamil Nadu.
17. Cr.No. 123/2025 under sections 296 (b), 115 (1), 118 (1) BNS of Thalalakuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
18. Cr.No. 14/2026 under section 34 B IX of PP Act of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

4. The Petitioner further submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a notorious rowdy element and become a source of terror among the public. It is also stated that he has involved in various offences by using lethal weapons, aruval, knife to attack the victims. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding citizen and he violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts and have scant respect to the judiciary and rule of law. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner was found wandering there in an aggressive mood and designed to commit some cognizable offences and therefore his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Ariyankuppam limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 25-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his relative, Tmt. Nagammal. The Service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 25-03-2026, the Petitioner, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing date.

8. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner are in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as murder, assault, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in various Police Stations.

9. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby, in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Loganathan @ Ajith (25), son of Arumugam, a resident of No. 01, Old Pudhukulam Street, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 25th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 41/2026 of I.R. No. 11/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/633

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Ariyankuppam Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Sathish Kumar @ Thagaram Sathish (26),
S/o. Pachaiyappan @ Segar,
No. 15, Lenin Street,
Ariyankuppam,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Sathish Kumar @ Thagaram Sathish (26), to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Sathish Kumar @ Thagaram Sathish (26), son of Pachaiyappan @ Segar, a resident of No. 15, Lenin Street, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (South), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 11/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 03-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner have formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as hurt cases and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned through extortion. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him in the cases where he is the accused. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

1. Cr.No. 18/2017 under sections 294 (b), 323, 324, 506 (ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
2. Cr.No. 166/2017 under sections 341, 294 (b), 323, 324 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
3. Cr.No. 148/2018 under sections 448, 294 (b), 427, 324, 506 (ii) IPC r/w 149 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
4. Cr.No. 338/2020 under section 20 (b) (ii) (A) r/w 8 (c) of NDPS act 1985 & 77 of JJ Act 1995 of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
5. Cr.No. 153/2021 under section 20 (b) (ii) (A) r/w 8 (c) of NDPS act 1985 & 77 of JJ Act 1995 of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
6. Cr.No. 217/2024 under section 34 B IX & 34 E I OF PP ACT of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
7. Cr.No. 85/2025 under section 25 (1) (a) of Arms Act of 1959 of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

4. The Petitioner further submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a notorious rowdy element and become a source of terror among the public. It is also stated that he has involved in various offences by using lethal weapons, aruval, knife to attack the victims. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding citizen and he violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts and have scant respect to the judiciary and rule of law. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner was found wandering there in an aggressive mood and designed to commit some cognizable offences and therefore his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Ariyankuppam limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 25-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his mother, Tmt. P. Devi. The Service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 25-03-2026, the Petitioner, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry and the Counter Petitioner were present.

8. I have heard both the sides and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of Thiru Sathish Kumar @ Thagaram Sathish, is in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as assault, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry. I have also heard the oral submission of the Counter Petitioner. The Counter Petitioner has stated that the Petitioner has filed this false case with an ulterior motive.

9. Upon hearing both sides and on perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby, in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Sathish Kumar @ Thagaram Sathish (26), son of Pachaiyappan @ Segar, a resident of No. 15, Lenin Street, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 25th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 42/2026 of I.R. No. 13/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/632

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Ariyankuppam Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Viswa (22),
S/o. Segar @ Subramani,
No. 07, 1st Cross Street,
PCP Nagar,
Ariyankuppam,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Viswa (22), to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Viswa (22), son of Segar @ Subramani, a resident of No. 07, 1st Cross Street, PCP Nagar, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (South), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 13/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 04-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner have formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as hurt cases and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned through extortion. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him in the cases where he is the accused. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

1. Cr.No. 01/2020 under sections 339, 402 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
2. Cr.No. 226/2021 under sections 294(b), 341, 354, 506(ii) r/w 34 IPC of Mudaliarpeta Police Station, Puducherry.
3. Cr.No. 91/2022 under section 20 (b) (ii) (B) of NDPS Act r/w 8 (c) of NDPS Act of 1985 r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

4. Cr.No. 36/2023 under sections 143,144,120-B (1) IPC r/w 149 IPC & 25-1-(a), 1AA, 1B-(b) of Arms Act of 1959 of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
5. Cr.No. 153/2023 Cr.No. 103/2023 under sections 294(b), 323, 324, 506(ii) of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
6. Cr.No. 217/2024 under section 34 B IX & 34 E1 OF PP ACT
7. Cr.No. 85/2025 under section 25(1) (a) of Arms Act of 1959 of Nettarekkam Police Station, Puducherry.
8. Cr.No. 250/2024 under section 25 (I-A) Arms Act 1959 & 351 (3) BNS of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
9. Cr.No. 274/2024 under section 20 (b)(ii) (A) r/w 8(c) of NDPS Act and 77 of JJ Act (Care and Protection of Children) Act 2015 of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
10. Cr.No. 106/2025 under sections 189 (2), 189 (4), 61 (2) BNS & 25 (1) (a), 1AA, 1B (b) of Arms Act, 1959, of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

4. The Petitioner further submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a notorious rowdy element and become a source of terror among the public. It is also stated that he has involved in various offences by using lethal weapons, aruval, knife to attack the victims. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding citizen and he violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts and have scant respect to the judiciary and rule of law. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner was found wandering there in an aggressive mood and designed to commit some cognizable offences and therefore his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Ariyankuppam limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 25-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his mother, Tmt. S. Sowendriya. The Service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 25-03-2026, the Petitioner, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing date.

8. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner are in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as assault, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in various Police Stations.

9. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby, in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Viswa (22), son of Segar @ Subramani, a resident of No. 07, 1st Cross Street, PCP Nagar, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 25th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 43/2026 of I.R. No. 14/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/631

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Ariyankuppam Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Kaviyarasan (25),
S/o. Vazhumuni,
No. 15, Water Tank Street,
Manavelly,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Kaviyarasan (25), to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Kaviyarasan (25), son of Vazhumuni, a resident of No. 15, Water Tank Street, Manavelly, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (South), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 14/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 04-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner have formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as hurt cases and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned through extortion. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him in the cases where he is the accused. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

1. Cr.No. 97/2021 under sections 399, 402 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
2. Cr.No. 198/2023 under section 20 (b) (ii) (A) of NDPS Act r/w 8 (c) of NDPS Act of 1985 & 77 of JJ Act 2015 r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
3. Cr.No. 24/2023 under sections 147, 148, 302 IPC r/w 149 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

4. The Petitioner further submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a notorious rowdy element and become a source of terror among the public. It is also stated that he has involved in various offences by using lethal weapons, aruval, knife to attack the victims. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding citizen and he violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts and have scant respect to the judiciary and rule of law. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner was found wandering there in an aggressive mood and designed to commit some cognizable offences and therefore his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Ariyankuppam limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private

properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 25-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m.. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his father, Thiru Vazhumuni. The Service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 25-03-2026, the Petitioner, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing date.

8. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner are in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as murder, assault, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

9. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby, in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Kaviyarasan (25), son of Vazhumuni, a resident of No. 15, Water Tank Street, Manavelly, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 25th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 44/2026 of I.R. No. 15/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/630

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Ariyankuppam Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Aswin (30),
S/o. Ilangovan,
Pillaiyar Koil Street,
Odaively,
Ariyankuppam,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Aswin (30), to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Aswin (30), son of Ilangovan, a resident of Pillaiyar Koil Street, Odaively, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (South), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 15/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 04-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner have formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as hurt cases and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned through extortion. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him in the cases where he is the accused. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

1. Cr.No. 367/2009 under section 302 IPC r/w 34 IPC and Sec. 3 of Explosive Substance Act, 1908 of Mudaliarpet Police Station, Puducherry.
2. Cr.No. 192/2010 under section 25(1) (a) Arms Act 1959 of Town Police Station, Karaikal.
3. Cr.No. 151/2011 under section 392 IPC r/w 34 IPC @ 395 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
4. Cr.No. 31/2012 under section 397 IPC of Auroville Police Station, Tamil Nadu.

5. Cr.No. 13/2012 under sections 392, 427 IPC r/w 34 IPC @ 395, 427 IPC r/w 109 IPC of Thirubuvanai Police Station, Puducherry.
6. Cr.No. 49/2013 under section 392 IPC r/w 34 IPC @ 395, & 109 IPC of Thirubuvanai Police Station, Puducherry.
7. Cr.No. 91/2013 under sections 341, 324, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
8. Cr.No. 90/2013 under sections 147, 449, 342, 332, 506(ii) IPC and 120(b) IPC r/w 149 IPC and 27 of Arms Act 1959, Yanam Police Station, Yanam.
9. Cr.No. 18/2016 under sections 147, 148, 341, 109, 307 IPC and 3 of POPPD Act 1908 Act r/w 3(a) & 4(a), 5 r/w 6 of Explosive Substances, Town Police Station, Villupuram, Tamil Nadu.
10. Cr.No. 33/2016 under section 307 IPC r/w 34 IPC @ 307, 120(b) r/w 149 IPC of Neravy Police Station, Karaikal.
11. Cr.No. 62/2016 under sections 324, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC @ 392 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Lawspet Police Station, Puducherry.
12. Cr No. 163/2016 under section 3 of Explosive Substances Act 1908 of Mudaliarpit Police Station, Puducherry.
13. Cr No. 398/2016 under section 392 IPC of Kottakuppam Police Station, Tamil Nadu.
14. Cr No. 406/2016 under sections 147, 148, 302, 324, 120-B IPC r/w 149 IPC and 25 (i-a), 27(i) of Arms Act and 3, 5, 6 Explosive Substances Act 1908 of Villupuram Town Police Station, Tamil Nadu.
15. Cr No. 488/2016 under section 399 IPC of Kottakuppam Police Station, Tamil Nadu.
16. Cr No. 102/2018 under sections 356, 379 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
17. Cr No. 138/2019 under sections 147, 148, 302 IPC and 3 of Explosive Substance Act 1908 r/w 149 IPC @ under section 120(b), 147, 148, 302 IPC and 3, 4 of Explosive Substance Act 1908 r/w 149 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
18. Cr No. 135/2023 under sections 294(b), 323, 147, 148, 393, 398 IPC of Mailam Police Station, Tamil Nadu.

4. The Petitioner further submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a notorious rowdy element and become a source of terror among the public. It is also stated that he has involved in various offences by using lethal weapons, aruval, knife to attack the victims. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding citizen and he violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts and have scant respect to the judiciary and rule of law. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner was found wandering there in an aggressive mood and designed to commit some cognizable offences and therefore his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Ariyankuppam limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 25-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his brother, Thiru E. Dhinagan. The Service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 25-03-2026, the Petitioner, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing date.

8. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner are in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as assault, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in various Police Stations.

9. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby, in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Aswin (30), son of Ilangovan, a resident of Pillaiyar Koil Street, Odaively, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 25th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 45/2026 of I.R. No. 16/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/628

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Ariyankuppam Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Hemu @ Hemanathan (26),
S/o. Babu,
No. 47, Kurunji Street,
Bharathi Nagar,
Ariyankuppam,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Hemu @ Hemanathan (26), to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Hemu @ Hemanathan (26), son of Babu, a resident of No. 47, Kurunji Street, Bharathi Nagar, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (South), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 16/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 04-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as hurt cases and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned through extortion. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him in the cases where he is the accused. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

1. Cr.No. 97/2021 under sections 399, 402 IPC, dated 10-06-2021 of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
2. Cr.No. 24/2023 under sections 147, 148, 302, IPC r/w 149 IPC, dated 06-02-2023 of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
3. Cr.No. 192/2017 under sections 294 (b), 324, 387, 506(ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC, dated 29-12-2017 of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

4. The Petitioner further submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a notorious rowdy element and become a source of terror among the public. It is also stated that he has involved in various offences by using lethal weapons, aruval, knife to attack the victims. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding citizen and he violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts and have scant respect to the judiciary and rule of law. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner was found wandering there in an aggressive mood and designed to commit some cognizable offences and therefore, his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Ariyankuppam limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 25-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his relative, Thiru. R. Anjalatchy. The service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 25-03-2026, the Petitioner, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing date.

8. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner are in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as murder, assault, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

9. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Hemu @ Hemanathan (26), son of Babu, a resident of No. 47, Kurunji Street, Bharathi Nagar, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 25th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 46/2026 of I.R. No. 18/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/627

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Ariyankuppam Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Rathish @ Mano (22),
S/o. Irusappan,
No. 07, 3rd Cross, PCP,
Ariyankuppam,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Rathish @ Mano (22), to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Rathish @ Mano (22), son of Irusappan, a resident of No. 07, 3rd Cross, PCP, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (South), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 18/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 05-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as hurt cases and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned through extortion. As a result,

the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him in the cases where he is the accused. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal case was registered against the Counter Petitioner.

1. Cr.No. 24/2023 under sections 147, 148, 302 IPC r/w 149 IPC, dated 06-02-2023 of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

4. The Petitioner further submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a notorious rowdy element and become a source of terror among the public. It is also stated that he has involved in various offences by using lethal weapons, aruval, knife to attack the victims. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding citizen and he violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts and have scant respect to the judiciary and rule of law. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner was found wandering there in an aggressive mood and designed to commit some cognizable offences and therefore, his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Ariyankuppam limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 25-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his mother, The service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 25-03-2026, the Petitioner, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing date.

8. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner are in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as assault, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There is one criminal case was registered against him in Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

9. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions,

annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Rathish @ Mano (22), son of Irusappan, a resident of No. 07, 3rd Cross, PCP, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 25th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 47/2026 of I.R. No. 19/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/629

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Ariyankuppam Police Station,
Puducherry.

.. Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Prasanth @ Mohanprasath (22),
S/o. Gunasegaran,
No. 07, Subrayan Street,
Shanmuga Nagar,
Ariyankuppam,
Puducherry.

.. Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Prasanth @ Mohanprasath (22), to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Prasanth @ Mohanprasath (22), son of Gunasegaran, a resident of No. 07, Subrayan Street, Shanmuga Nagar, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (South), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 19/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 05-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as hurt cases and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned through extortion. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him in the cases where he is the accused. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal case was registered against the Counter Petitioner.

1. Cr.No. 138/2019 under sections 147, 148, 302 IPC r/w 3, 4 of Explosive Act of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

4. The Petitioner further submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a notorious rowdy element and become a source of terror among the public. It is also stated that he has involved in various offences by using lethal weapons, aruval, knife to attack the victims. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding citizen and he violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts and have scant respect to the judiciary and rule of law. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner was found wandering there in an aggressive mood and designed to commit some cognizable offences and therefore, his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Ariyankuppam limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 25-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his relative, Tmt. Meena. The service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 25-03-2026, the Petitioner, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing date.

8. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner are in such a manner that he

may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as assault, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There is one criminal case was registered against him in Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

9. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Prasanth @ Mohanprasath (22), son of Gunasegaran, a resident of No.07, Subrayan Street, Shanmuga Nagar, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 25th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 48/2026 of I.R. No. 20/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/625

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Ariyankuppam Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Krishna @ Krishnan (22),
S/o. Selvaraj,
1st Cross, Annai Indira Nagar,
Manjalai,
Ariyankuppam,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Krishna @ Krishnan (22), to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Krishna @ Krishnan (22), son of Selvaraj, a resident of 1st Cross, Annai Indira Nagar, Manjalai, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (South), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 20/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 05-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as hurt cases and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned through extortion. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him in the cases where he is the accused. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

1. Cr.No. 84/2017 under sections 147, 148, 342, 302, 307 IPC r/w 149, IPC 148, 109, 120-B, 144, 114, 342, 302, 307, 326, 324 IPC r/w 149 IPC and 3&4 of Explosive Substances Act, 1908, dated 19-10-2017 of Mettupalayam Police Station, Puducherry.
2. Cr.No. 146/2018 under sections 294(b), 324, 506(ii), 109 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
3. Cr.No. 65/2019 under sections 294(b), 323, 324, 506 (ii) IPC r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
4. Cr.No. 66/2021 under sections 294(b), 506(ii), 109 IPC r/w 34 IPC of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
5. Cr.No. 33/2021 under sections 294(b), 506(ii) r/w 34, IPC, dated 05-03-2021 of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
6. Cr.No. 165/2021 under section 20(b)(ii) r/w 8(c) of NDPS & 77 of JJ Act of Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

4. The Petitioner further submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a notorious rowdy element and become a source of terror among the public. It is also stated that he has involved in various offences by using lethal weapons, aruval, knife to attack the victims. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding citizen and he violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts and have scant respect to the judiciary and rule of law. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner was found wandering there in an aggressive mood and designed to commit some cognizable offences and therefore, his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Ariyankuppam limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 25-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by his brother, Thiru. Akash. The service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 25-03-2026, the Petitioner, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing date.

8. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner are in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as murder, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in various Police Stations.

9. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Krishna @ Krishnan (22), son of Selvaraj, a resident of 1st Cross, Annai Indira Nagar, Manjalai, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 25th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

**IN THE COURT OF SUBDIVISIONAL MAGISTRATE (NORTH)
AT PUDUCHERRY**

Present : Thiru DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).

M.C. No. 49/2026 of I.R. No. 17/2026, Under Section 163 BNSS/626

ORDER

(UNDER SECTION 163 BNSS)

State Represented by the Station House Officer,
Ariyankuppam Police Station,
Puducherry.

. . . Petitioner

Vs.

Thiru Eli @ Udhaya (29),
S/o. Uthamaputhiran,
No.42, Chinna Veerampattinam,
Ariyankuppam,
Puducherry.

. . . Counter Petitioner

This petition along with case sheet is filed by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, praying to pass orders under section 163 BNSS against Thiru Eli @ Udhaya (29), to prevent imminent danger to human lives and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order, in the jurisdiction of this Court.

2. The Counter Petitioner is Thiru Eli @ Udhaya (29), son of Uthamaputhiran, a resident of No. 42, Chinna Veerampattinam, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry.

3. *The facts which led up to the petition are briefly as follows:* The case submitted by the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, through the Superintendent of Police (South), Puducherry *vide* I.R.No. 17/2026 under section 163 of BNSS, dated 04-02-2026. Therein, it has been stated that the Counter Petitioner has formed a gang of rowdies and bent upon indulging in crime such as hurt cases and disturbance to the public tranquility and order. The Counter Petitioner has created panic in the minds of local inhabitants. It is also stated that he is leading lavish life with the money earned through extortion. As a result, the people of the locality being afraid of the Counter Petitioner do not come forward to lodge any complaint against him. It is also stated that even witnesses don't come forward voluntarily to give evidence against him in the cases where he is the accused. In this connection, the Petitioner had stated that the following criminal cases were registered against the Counter Petitioner.

Sl. No.	Crime No.	Section	Name of the Police Station
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Cr.No. 189/2008	Under sections 341, 506(ii) r/w 34 IPC, dated 02-09-2008.	Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
2	Cr.No. 13/2012	Under sections 427, 392 IPC r/w 34 IPC @ 427, 395 IPC and 109 IPC, dated 29-01-2012.	Thirubuvanai Police Station, Puducherry.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
3	Cr.No. 31/2012	Under sections 397 IPC, dated 19-01-2012.	Auroville Police Station, Tamil Nadu.
4	Cr.No. 133/2012	Under sections 25(1-a) of Arms Act, dated 05-08-2012.	Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
5	Cr.No. 182/2012	Under sections 506(ii) r/w 34 IPC, 3 of Explosive Substances Act, dated 30-11-2012.	Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
6	Cr.No. 105/2017	Under sections 435, 506(ii) r/w 34 IPC, dated 27-07-2017.	Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
7	Cr.No. 65/2018	Under sections 34 B ix of PP Act, dated 15-05-2018.	Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.
8	Cr.No. 138/2019	Under sections 147, 148, 302 IPC and 3 of Explosive Substances Act r/w 149 IPC.	Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry.

4. The Petitioner further submitted that the Counter Petitioner is a notorious rowdy element and become a source of terror among the public. It is also stated that he has involved in various offences by using lethal weapons, aruval, knife to attack the victims. The Petitioner has also submitted that the Counter Petitioner is not a law abiding citizen and he violated the conditions imposed by the Criminal Courts and have scant respect to the judiciary and rule of law. The Petitioner further stated that the Counter Petitioner earned displeasure among a section of other rowdy group in the area on account of the grudge against him and hence, rival group is also waiting opportunity to take revenge upon him. It is also stated that the Counter Petitioner was found wandering there in an aggressive mood and designed to commit some cognizable offences and therefore, his criminal activities cannot be prevented by invoking the mere security proceedings under the BNSS.

5. The Petitioner further submitted that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside in Ariyankuppam limits, it is apprehended that he would continue to indulge in serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby emerged as a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Hence, the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry, has prayed to invoke provisions under section 163 BNSS against the Counter Petitioner do not to enter into the jurisdiction of this Court for a period of two months as to prevent danger to the human life and properties and disturbance to the public tranquility and order.

6. The proceeding was started by affording an opportunity to the Counter Petitioner to show cause against the petition filed by the Petitioner. A notice was served to both the parties on 20-03-2026 to appear for the hearing on 25-03-2026 at 10.00 a.m. It was instructed to serve the notice to the Counter Petitioner through the Station House Officer, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry. The notice for the Counter Petitioner was received by mother Tmt. Ganga. The service was held sufficient for the Counter Petitioner.

7. On 25-03-2026, the Petitioner, Ariyankuppam Police Station, Puducherry was present. The Counter Petitioner has failed to appear before the Court on hearing date.

8. I have heard the Petitioner and carefully gone through all the available records in file placed by the Petitioner. In the Police Information Report / Petition, it is stated that the activities of the Counter Petitioner are in such a manner that he may cause breach of peace and create a problem of law and order and public tranquility. He has involved in crimes such as murder, unlawful activities and disturbance to the public peace and order. There are various criminal cases were registered against him in various Police Stations.

9. On perusal of the records submitted by the Petitioner, I am of the opinion that if, the Counter Petitioner is allowed to reside within the limits of my jurisdiction, the Counter Petitioner will continue to indulge in and also motivate serious offences like murder, extortion, damages to public and private properties, assault, obstructions, annoyance, *etc.*, and thereby become a perennial source of threat to the community and disturb public peace and order. Such situations cannot be handled effectively by taking recourse to usual procedures contemplated by law and hence, it is necessary that special provision under section 163 of BNSS is invoked to take action against the Counter Petitioner to prevent harm to life and properties of citizens and uphold public peace and order;

10. Hence, I, Dayanand Tendolkar, do hereby in the capacity of Subdivisional Magistrate (North), Puducherry, in exercise of powers conferred under the section 163 (1) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), pass this order and prohibit the Counter Petitioner Thiru Eli @ Udhaya (29), son of Uthamaputhiran, a resident of No. 42, Chinna Veerampattinam, Ariyankuppam, Puducherry, from entering into the region under the jurisdiction of the Subdivisional Magistrate Court (North), for a period of two months from the date of this order (in view of GEPLA - 2026) or till any further orders are passed by the Competent Authority revoking this prohibition as per law, whichever is earlier except when you are summoned to attend the cases in any of the Courts in Puducherry.

At Puducherry, dated on this 25th day of March, 2026 pronounced in the open Court.

DAYANAND TENDOLKAR,
Subdivisional Magistrate (North).